

# Introduction to JavaCard Dynamic Logic

Andreas Roth, Richard Bubel, Christian Engel

December 12, 2007



# Some Java Features

- Assignments, **complex** expressions with **side effects**

```
int i = 0; if ((i=2) >= 2) {i++;} // value of i?
```



# Some Java Features

- Assignments, **complex** expressions with **side effects**

```
int i = 0; if ((i=2) >= 2) {i++;} // value of i?
```

- Abrupt termination

# Some Java Features

- Assignments, **complex** expressions with **side effects**

```
int i = 0; if ((i=2) >= 2) {i++;} // value of i?
```

- Abrupt termination

- **Exceptions** (try – catch – finally)

# Some Java Features

- Assignments, **complex** expressions with **side effects**

```
int i = 0; if ((i=2) >= 2) {i++;} // value of i?
```

- Abrupt termination

- **Exceptions** (try – catch – finally)
- **Local jumps** return, break, continue

# Some Java Features

- Assignments, **complex** expressions with **side effects**

```
int i = 0; if ((i=2) >= 2) {i++;} // value of i?
```

- Abrupt termination

- **Exceptions** (try – catch – finally)
  - **Local jumps** return, break, continue

- **Aliasing**

Different navigation expressions may be same object reference

$$I \models o.age \doteq 1 \rightarrow \langle u.age = 2; \rangle o.age \doteq u.age \quad ?$$

Depends on whether  $I \models o \doteq u$

# Some Java Features

- Assignments, **complex** expressions with **side effects**

```
int i = 0; if ((i=2) >= 2) {i++;} // value of i?
```

- Abrupt termination

- **Exceptions** (try – catch – finally)
  - **Local jumps** return, break, continue

- **Aliasing**

Different navigation expressions may be same object reference

$$I \models o.age \doteq 1 \rightarrow \langle u.age = 2; \rangle o.age \doteq u.age \quad ?$$

Depends on whether  $I \models o \doteq u$

- **Method calls, blocks**

# Some Java Features

- Assignments, **complex** expressions with **side effects**

```
int i = 0; if ((i=2) >= 2) {i++;} // value of i?
```

- Abrupt termination

- **Exceptions** (try – catch – finally)
  - **Local jumps** return, break, continue

- **Aliasing**

Different navigation expressions may be same object reference

$$I \models o.age \doteq 1 \rightarrow \langle u.age = 2; \rangle o.age \doteq u.age \quad ?$$

Depends on whether  $I \models o \doteq u$

- **Method calls, blocks**

**Solution within KeY to be discussed in detail**

# More Java Features

## Addressed in KeY

- Java's rules for localisation of attributes and method implementations (**polymorphism, dynamic binding**)

# More Java Features

## Addressed in KeY

- Java's rules for localisation of attributes and method implementations (**polymorphism, dynamic binding**)
  - Scope (class-instance)
  - Context (static/runtime)
  - Visibility
  - super

# More Java Features

## Addressed in KeY

- Java's rules for localisation of attributes and method implementations (**polymorphism, dynamic binding**)
  - Scope (class-instance)
  - Context (static/runtime)
  - Visibility
  - super

**Solution:** branch proof if implementation not uniquely determined

# More Java Features

## Addressed in KeY

- Java's rules for localisation of attributes and method implementations (**polymorphism, dynamic binding**)
  - Scope (class-instance)
  - Context (static/runtime)
  - Visibility
  - super

**Solution:** branch proof if implementation not uniquely determined

- Run time errors (**null pointer exceptions**)  
Functions that model attributes are partially defined

# More Java Features

## Addressed in KeY

- Java's rules for localisation of attributes and method implementations (**polymorphism, dynamic binding**)
  - Scope (class-instance)
  - Context (static/runtime)
  - Visibility
  - super

**Solution:** branch proof if implementation not uniquely determined

- Run time errors (**null pointer exceptions**)  
Functions that model attributes are partially defined
- Solution:** optional rule set enforces proof of  $!(o \doteq null)$   
(whenever object reference  $o$  accessed)

# More Java Features

- Java Card data types

`boolean, char, String  
int, byte, long (cyclic!)`

Arrays

**Solution:** optional rule sets  $N/\text{int}$ , rules for built-ins

# More Java Features

- Java Card data types

`boolean, char, String  
int, byte, long (cyclic!)`

Arrays

**Solution:** optional rule sets  $N/\text{int}$ , rules for built-ins

- Object **creation** and **initialisation**

Trick to keep same universe  $U$  in all states:

all objects exist anytime, use attributes  $o.\text{created}$ ,  $o.\text{initialized}$

# Side Effects and Complex Expressions

```
int i = 0; if ((i=2) >= 2) {i++;} // value of i?
```

JAVA expressions can assign values (**assignment operators**)

**FOL/DL terms** have **no** side effects

# Side Effects and Complex Expressions

```
int i = 0; if ((i=2) >= 2) {i++;} // value of i?
```

JAVA expressions can assign values (**assignment operators**)

**FOL/DL terms** have **no** side effects

**Decomposition** of complex terms following symbolic execution as defined  
for expressions JAVA language specification

Local **program transformations**

$$\text{ITERATED-ASSIGNMENT } \frac{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi y = t; x = y; \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi x = y = t; \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta} \quad t \text{ simple}$$

# Side Effects and Complex Expressions

```
int i = 0; if ((i=2) >= 2) {i++;} // value of i?
```

JAVA expressions can assign values (**assignment operators**)

**FOL/DL terms** have **no** side effects

**Decomposition** of complex terms following symbolic execution as defined for expressions JAVA language specification

Local **program transformations**

$$\text{ITERATED-ASSIGNMENT } \frac{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi y = t; x = y; \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi x = y = t; \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta} \quad t \text{ simple}$$

Temporary program variables '\_var<n>' store intermediate results

$$\text{IF-EVAL } \frac{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi \text{ boolean } v_{\text{new}}; v_{\text{new}} = b; \text{ if } (v_{\text{new}}) \{ \alpha \}; \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi \text{ if } (b) \{ \alpha \}; \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}$$



where *b* complex

# Side Effects and Complex Expressions, Cont'd

**Applying rule to statement including guard with side effect is incorrect**

Restrict applicability of IF-THEN and other rules with guards:

Guard expression needs to be **simple** (ie, side effect-free)

$$\text{IF-SPLIT} \frac{\Gamma, b \doteq \text{TRUE} \Rightarrow \langle \pi \alpha; \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta \quad \Gamma, b \doteq \text{FALSE} \Rightarrow \langle \pi \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \langle \pi \text{ if } (b) \{ \alpha \}; \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}$$

where  $b$  simple

Demo

javaDL/complex.key



# Abrupt Termination

Redirection of control flow via return, break, continue, **exceptions**

$$\langle \pi \text{ try } \{\xi\alpha\} \text{ catch}(e) \{\gamma\} \text{ finally } \{\epsilon\}; \omega \rangle \phi$$

# Abrupt Termination

Redirection of control flow via return, break, continue, **exceptions**

$$\langle \pi \text{ try } \{\xi\alpha\} \text{ catch}(e) \{\gamma\} \text{ finally } \{\epsilon\}; \omega \rangle \phi$$

**Solution:** rules work on first active statement, **try** part of prefix

# Abrupt Termination

Redirection of control flow via return, break, continue, **exceptions**

$$\langle \pi \text{ try } \{\xi\alpha\} \text{ catch}(e) \{\gamma\} \text{ finally } \{\epsilon\}; \omega \rangle \phi$$

**Solution:** rules work on first active statement, **try** part of prefix

TRY-THROW (exc simple)

$$\frac{\Gamma \implies \left\langle \begin{array}{l} \pi \text{ if (exc instanceof Exception) } \{ \\ \quad \text{try } \{e = \text{exc}; \gamma\} \text{ finally } \{\epsilon\} \\ \} \text{ else } \{\epsilon \text{ throw exc}\}; \omega \end{array} \right\rangle \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi \text{ try } \{\text{throw exc; } \alpha\} \text{ catch}(e) \{\gamma\} \text{ finally } \{\epsilon\}; \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}$$

# Aliasing

Naive alias resolution causes **proof split** (on  $o \doteq u$ ) at each access

$$\Gamma \implies o.\text{age} \doteq 1 \rightarrow \langle u.\text{age} = 2; \rangle o.\text{age} \doteq u.\text{age}, \Delta$$

# Aliasing

Naive alias resolution causes **proof split** (on  $o \doteq u$ ) at each access

$$\Gamma \implies o.\text{age} \doteq 1 \rightarrow \langle u.\text{age} = 2; \rangle o.\text{age} \doteq u.\text{age}, \Delta$$

Unnecessary in many cases!

$$\Gamma \implies o.\text{age} \doteq 1 \rightarrow \langle u.\text{age} = 2; \text{ o.age} = 2; \rangle o.\text{age} \doteq u.\text{age}, \Delta$$

$$\Gamma \implies o.\text{age} \doteq 1 \rightarrow \langle u.\text{age} = 2; \rangle u.\text{age} \doteq 2, \Delta$$

# Aliasing

Naive alias resolution causes **proof split** (on  $o \doteq u$ ) at each access

$$\Gamma \implies o.\text{age} \doteq 1 \rightarrow \langle u.\text{age} = 2; \rangle o.\text{age} \doteq u.\text{age}, \Delta$$

Unnecessary in many cases!

$$\Gamma \implies o.\text{age} \doteq 1 \rightarrow \langle u.\text{age} = 2; \text{ o.age} = 2; \rangle o.\text{age} \doteq u.\text{age}, \Delta$$

$$\Gamma \implies o.\text{age} \doteq 1 \rightarrow \langle u.\text{age} = 2; \rangle u.\text{age} \doteq 2, \Delta$$

**Updates** avoid such proof splits:

- Delayed state computation until clear what **actually** required
- Simplification of updates

# Updates for JAVA

Let  $loc$  be either one of

- program variable x

# Updates for JAVA

Let *loc* be either one of

- program variable *x*
- attribute access *o.attr* (*o* has object type)



# Updates for JAVA

Let *loc* be either one of

- program variable *x*
- attribute access *o.attr* (*o* has object type)
- array access *a[i]* (*a* has array type, not discussed here)

# Updates for JAVA

Let  $loc$  be either one of

- program variable  $x$
- attribute access  $o.attr$  ( $o$  has object type)
- array access  $a[i]$  ( $a$  has array type, not discussed here)

$$\text{ASSIGN} \frac{\Gamma \implies \{loc := val\} \langle \pi \ \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi \ loc=val; \ \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}$$

where

- $loc$  and  $val$  satisfy above restrictions
- $val$  is a side-effect free term,
- $\{loc := val\}$  is DL **update** (usage and semantics as in simple DL)

# Computing Effect of Updates: Attributes

Use **conditional terms** to delay splitting further

$$(\text{\textbackslash if } (t_1 = t_2) \text{ \textbackslash then } t \text{ \textbackslash else } e)^{I,\beta} = \begin{cases} t^{I,\beta} & t_1^{I,\beta} = t_2^{I,\beta} \\ e^{I,\beta} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# Computing Effect of Updates: Attributes

Use **conditional terms** to delay splitting further

$$(\text{\if } (t_1 = t_2) \text{ \then } t \text{ \else } e)^{I,\beta} = \begin{cases} t^{I,\beta} & t_1^{I,\beta} = t_2^{I,\beta} \\ e^{I,\beta} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Computing update followed by **attribute access**

$$\{o.a := t\}o.a \rightsquigarrow t$$

$$\{o.a := t\}u.b \rightsquigarrow (\{o.a := t\}u).b$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{o.a := t\}u.a &\rightsquigarrow \\ &\quad \text{\if } ((\{o.a := t\}u) = o) \text{ \then } t \text{ \else } (\{o.a := t\}u).a \end{aligned}$$

# Computing Effect of Updates: Attributes

Use **conditional terms** to delay splitting further

$$(\text{\if } (t_1 = t_2) \text{ \then } t \text{ \else } e)^{I,\beta} = \begin{cases} t^{I,\beta} & t_1^{I,\beta} = t_2^{I,\beta} \\ e^{I,\beta} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Computing update followed by **attribute access**

$$\{o.a := t\}o.a \rightsquigarrow t$$

$$\{o.a := t\}u.b \rightsquigarrow (\{o.a := t\}u).b$$

$$\begin{aligned}\{o.a := t\}u.a &\rightsquigarrow \\ &\quad \text{\if } ((\{o.a := t\}u) = o) \text{ \then } t \text{ \else } (\{o.a := t\}u).a\end{aligned}$$

## Example

$$\{o.a := o\}o.a.a.b$$

# Computing Effect of Updates: Attributes

Use **conditional terms** to delay splitting further

$$(\text{\if } (t_1 = t_2) \text{ \then } t \text{ \else } e)^{I,\beta} = \begin{cases} t^{I,\beta} & t_1^{I,\beta} = t_2^{I,\beta} \\ e^{I,\beta} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Computing update followed by **attribute access**

$$\{o.a := t\}o.a \rightsquigarrow t$$

$$\{o.a := t\}u.b \rightsquigarrow (\{o.a := t\}u).b$$

$$\begin{aligned}\{o.a := t\}u.a &\rightsquigarrow \\ &\quad \text{\if } ((\{o.a := t\}u) = o) \text{ \then } t \text{ \else } (\{o.a := t\}u).a\end{aligned}$$

## Example

$$\{o.a := o\}o.a.a.b \rightsquigarrow \{o.\color{blue}{a} := o\}o.a.a.\color{red}{b}$$

# Computing Effect of Updates: Attributes

Use **conditional terms** to delay splitting further

$$(\text{\if } (t_1 = t_2) \text{ \then } t \text{ \else } e)^{I,\beta} = \begin{cases} t^{I,\beta} & t_1^{I,\beta} = t_2^{I,\beta} \\ e^{I,\beta} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Computing update followed by **attribute access**

$$\{o.a := t\}o.a \rightsquigarrow t$$

$$\{o.a := t\}u.b \rightsquigarrow (\{o.a := t\}u).b$$

$$\begin{aligned}\{o.a := t\}u.a &\rightsquigarrow \\ &\quad \text{\if } ((\{o.a := t\}u) = o) \text{ \then } t \text{ \else } (\{o.a := t\}u).a\end{aligned}$$

## Example

$$\{o.a := o\}o.a.a.b \rightsquigarrow (\{o.a := o\}o.a.\color{blue}{a}).b$$

# Computing Effect of Updates: Attributes

Use **conditional terms** to delay splitting further

$$(\text{\if } (t_1 = t_2) \text{ \then } t \text{ \else } e)^{I,\beta} = \begin{cases} t^{I,\beta} & t_1^{I,\beta} = t_2^{I,\beta} \\ e^{I,\beta} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Computing update followed by **attribute access**

$$\{o.a := t\}o.a \rightsquigarrow t$$

$$\{o.a := t\}u.b \rightsquigarrow (\{o.a := t\}u).b$$

$$\begin{aligned}\{o.a := t\}u.a &\rightsquigarrow \\ &\quad \text{\if } ((\{o.a := t\}u) = o) \text{ \then } t \text{ \else } (\{o.a := t\}u).a\end{aligned}$$

**Example**

$$\begin{aligned}\{o.a := o\}o.a.a.b &\rightsquigarrow \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{\if } ((\{o.a := o\}o.a) = o) \\ \quad \text{\then } o \\ \quad \text{\else } (\{o.a := o\}o.a).a \end{array} \right ).b\end{aligned}$$

# Computing Effect of Updates: Attributes

Use **conditional terms** to delay splitting further

$$(\text{\if } (t_1 = t_2) \text{ \then } t \text{ \else } e)^{I,\beta} = \begin{cases} t^{I,\beta} & t_1^{I,\beta} = t_2^{I,\beta} \\ e^{I,\beta} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Computing update followed by **attribute access**

$$\{o.a := t\}o.a \rightsquigarrow t$$

$$\{o.a := t\}u.b \rightsquigarrow (\{o.a := t\}u).b$$

$$\begin{aligned}\{o.a := t\}u.a &\rightsquigarrow \\ &\quad \text{\if } ((\{o.a := t\}u) = o) \text{ \then } t \text{ \else } (\{o.a := t\}u).a\end{aligned}$$

## Example

$$\begin{aligned}\{o.a := o\}o.a.a.b &\rightsquigarrow \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{\if } (o = o) \\ \quad \text{\then } o \\ \quad \text{\else } o.a \end{array} \right).b\end{aligned}$$

# Computing Effect of Updates: Attributes

Use **conditional terms** to delay splitting further

$$(\text{\if } (t_1 = t_2) \text{ \then } t \text{ \else } e)^{I,\beta} = \begin{cases} t^{I,\beta} & t_1^{I,\beta} = t_2^{I,\beta} \\ e^{I,\beta} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Computing update followed by **attribute access**

$$\{o.a := t\}o.a \rightsquigarrow t$$

$$\{o.a := t\}u.b \rightsquigarrow (\{o.a := t\}u).b$$

$$\{o.a := t\}u.a \rightsquigarrow$$

$$\text{\if } ((\{o.a := t\}u) = o) \text{ \then } t \text{ \else } (\{o.a := t\}u).a$$

## Example

$$\{o.a := o\}o.a.a.b \rightsquigarrow o.b$$

# Parallel Updates

Computing update followed by **update**

$$\{l_1 := r_1\} \{l_2 := r_2\} = \{\{l_1 := r_1\}, \{\{l_1 := r_1\} \downarrow l_2 := \{l_1 := r_1\} r_2\}\}$$

Results in **parallel update**

# Parallel Updates

Computing update followed by **update**

$$\{l_1 := r_1\} \{l_2 := r_2\} = \{\{l_1 := r_1\}, \{l_1 := r_1\} \downarrow l_2 := \{l_1 := r_1\} r_2\}$$

Results in **parallel update**

## Syntax

$$\{l_1 := v_1, \dots, l_n := v_n\}$$

# Parallel Updates

Computing update followed by **update**

$$\{l_1 := r_1\} \{l_2 := r_2\} = \{\{l_1 := r_1\}, \{l_1 := r_1\} \downarrow l_2 := \{l_1 := r_1\} r_2\}$$

Results in **parallel update**

## Syntax

$$\{l_1 := v_1, \dots, l_n := v_n\}$$

## Semantics

- All  $l_i$  and  $v_i$  computed in old state
- All updates done simultaneously
- If conflict  $l_i = l_j, v_i \neq v_j$  later update wins

# Method Call

**Method call** with actual parameters  $arg_1, \dots, arg_n$

$$\{arg_1 := t_1, \dots, arg_n := t_n, o := t_o\} \langle o.m(arg_1, \dots, arg_n); \rangle \phi$$

Where method declaration is: `void m(T1 p1, ..., Tn pn)`

# Method Call

**Method call** with actual parameters  $arg_1, \dots, arg_n$

$$\{arg_1 := t_1, \dots, arg_n := t_n, o := t_o\} \langle o.m(arg_1, \dots, arg_n); \rangle \phi$$

Where method declaration is: `void m(T1 p1, ..., Tn pn)`

What the rule **method-call** does:

- (type conformance of  $arg_i$  to  $T_i$  guaranteed by JAVA compiler)

# Method Call

**Method call** with actual parameters  $arg_1, \dots, arg_n$

$$\{arg_1 := t_1, \dots, arg_n := t_n, o := t_o\} \langle o.m(arg_1, \dots, arg_n); \rangle \phi$$

Where method declaration is: `void m(T1 p1, ..., Tn pn)`

What the rule **method-call** does:

- (type conformance of  $arg_i$  to  $T_i$  guaranteed by JAVA compiler)
- for each formal parameter  $p_i$  of  $m$  declare & initialize new local variable ' $T_i$   $p_i = arg_i;$ '

# Method Call

**Method call** with actual parameters  $arg_1, \dots, arg_n$

$$\{arg_1 := t_1, \dots, arg_n := t_n, o := t_o\} \langle o.m(arg_1, \dots, arg_n); \rangle \phi$$

Where method declaration is: `void m(T1 p1, ..., Tn pn)`

What the rule **method-call** does:

- (type conformance of  $arg_i$  to  $T_i$  guaranteed by JAVA compiler)
- for each formal parameter  $p_i$  of  $m$  declare & initialize new local variable ' $T_i$   $p_i = arg_i;$ '
- look up implementation class  $C$  of  $m$  split proof, if implementation not determinable

# Method Call

**Method call** with actual parameters  $arg_1, \dots, arg_n$

$$\{arg_1 := t_1, \dots, arg_n := t_n, o := t_o\} \langle o.m(arg_1, \dots, arg_n); \rangle \phi$$

Where method declaration is: `void m(T1 p1, ..., Tn pn)`

What the rule **method-call** does:

- (type conformance of  $arg_i$  to  $T_i$  guaranteed by JAVA compiler)
- for each formal parameter  $p_i$  of  $m$  declare & initialize new local variable ' $T_i$   $p_i = arg_i;$ '
- look up implementation class  $C$  of  $m$  split proof, if implementation not determinable
- make concrete call  $o.C::m(p_1, \dots, p_n)$

## Method Body Expand

After processing code that binds actual to formal parameters (symbolic execution of ' $T_i \ p_i = arg_i;$ ' )

$$\text{METHOD-BODY-EXPAND} \quad \frac{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi \text{ method-frame}(C(o))\{ b \} \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi o.C::m(p_1, \dots, p_n); \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}$$

# Method Body Expand

After processing code that binds actual to formal parameters (symbolic execution of ' $T_i \ p_i = arg_i;$ ' )

$$\text{METHOD-BODY-EXPAND} \frac{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi \text{ method-frame}(C(o))\{ b \} \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi o.C::m(p_1, \dots, p_n); \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}$$

Symbolic Execution

# Method Body Expand

After processing code that binds actual to formal parameters (symbolic execution of ' $T_i \ p_i = arg_i;$ ' )

$$\text{METHOD-BODY-EXPAND} \frac{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi \text{ method-frame}(C(o))\{ b \} \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi o.C::m(p_1, \dots, p_n); \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}$$

## Symbolic Execution

Only static information available, proof splitting

# Method Body Expand

After processing code that binds actual to formal parameters (symbolic execution of ' $T_i \ p_i = arg_i;$ ' )

$$\text{METHOD-BODY-EXPAND} \frac{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi \text{ method-frame}(C(o))\{ b \} \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi o.C::m(p_1, \dots, p_n); \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}$$

## Symbolic Execution

Only static information available, proof splitting

Runtime infrastructure required in calculus